IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

KEITH D. RUDOLPH,)
Plaintiff,)) Civil Action No.: 2:06-CV-994-ID
vs.)
MOBIS ALABAMA, LLC,)
Defendant.)

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION, OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE, FOR REASSIGNMENT TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE AS PRO SE LITIGATION

COMES NOW the Defendant, MOBIS Alabama, LLC, and ("MOBIS") and moves the Court to dismiss this case for want of prosecution, or in the alternative to reassign it to a magistrate judge. As grounds, MOBIS shows the Court the following:

- 1. Plaintiff Keith Rudolph ("Plaintiff") filed this action on November 2, 2006.
- 2. Thereafter the parties exchanged initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26 <u>Fed. R.</u> <u>Civ. P.</u> and conducted written discovery.
- 3. On August 17, 2007, Rudolph's counsel David Arendall, Esquire and Allen Arnold, Esquire filed a Motion for Leave to Withdraw. (Doc. No. 15)
- 4. On August 1, 2007, this Court granted Mr. Arendall's and Mr. Arnold's Motion to Withdraw. In that Order (Doc. No. 17), the Court established September 25, 2007, as the deadline for Plaintiff to retain new counsel, or enter an appearance *pro se*.
 - 5. Plaintiff failed to comply with the Court's Order.

6. After the September 25, 2007, deadline expired without action on the Plaintiff's

part, the undersigned counsel for MOBIS initiated written and telephonic communications with

Plaintiff in an effort to resume discovery and trial preparation. (See Exhibits "1" and "2".)

7. Pursuant to those communications, MOBIS' counsel and Plaintiff have spoken

several times by telephone and had one (1) face to face meeting at the undersigned's office.

8. Recently, Plaintiff failed to appear at three (3) consecutive appointments with

MOBIS' counsel.

9. MOBIS submits that it has done all within reason to accommodate Plaintiff's lack

of legal representation. MOBIS further submits that Plaintiff is apparently no longer willing or

able to cooperate with MOBIS' counsel.

10. This case is scheduled for trial on June 23, 2008, and MOBIS faces a dispositive

motion cutoff of February 12, 2008.

WHEREFORE THE PREMISES CONSIDERED, MOBIS moves the Court for an

Order dismissing this case for want of prosecution, or in the alternative, reassigning this case to a

Magistrate Judge for prosecution as a *pro se* case in accordance with this District's customary

practices for such cases.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of December 2007.

/s/Henry C. Barnett, Jr.

HENRY C. BARNETT, JR. (BAR037)

ATTORNEY FOR MOBIS ALABAMA, LLC

OF COUNSEL:

CAPELL & HOWARD, P. C. 150 South Perry Street

Post Office Box 2069

Montgomery, AL 36102

Telephone: (334) 241-8059

Facsimile: (334) 323-8888

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on the 14th day of December 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following, a copy was also sent Via U.S. Mail to the address below:

> Mr. Keith Rudolph 3358 Rudolph Road Tyler, Al 36785

> > /s/Henry C. Barnett, Jr. OF COUNSEL

DONKE, VIENNESS

WHITENS OF COURSESS.

William & Staville

MARKS CONTRACTOR

CAMBERT AND COME OF BREATH A DATE OF THE BREATH AS A STATE OF THE BROWN HE BROWN HE

ESSEED OF TRAMPOUNTERS OF A MASSES OF A MA

WHO RESTANDANDS
FOR SECTIONS
FO

en with a scorp of the start with the scorp of the scorp



November 12, 2007

REPART OF THE SERVICE

Mr. Keith Rudolph 3358 Rudolph Road Tyler, Al 36785

Re: Keith D. Rudolph v. MOBIS Alabama, LLC

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Div.

Case No.: 2:06cv994-ID

Dear Mr. Rudolph:

This firm represents MOBIS Alabama, LLC in the above referenced lawsuit, which you filed on November 2, 2006.

On August 21, 2007, United States District Judge Ira DeMent issued an Order allowing your attorney, David Arendall, Esq., to withdraw and requiring you to find new counsel and have such counsel make an appearance in this case no later than September 25, 2007. In the alternative, the Court gave you until September 25, 2007 to make an appearance in this case *pro sa* (meaning that you will be representing yourself, without a lawyer).

As of today, no lawyer has made an appearance on your behalf, and you have not appeared *pro se*. The September 25, 2007 deadline established by the Court has long since passed so I am assuming that you no longer intend to pursue this case. Whether or not you intend to pursue the case, I ask that you please give me a call at 241-8059 at your earliest convenience so that we may discuss this matter and agree on how to proceed from here.

Henry C. Bathell, Jr.

Yours very truly

HCB/as Enclosure EXHIBIT ____

CAPELL & HOWARDED

FRANK HAMI AND SAME AND TANK A

M. COURTAIN WILLIAMS
FORM BOSSICS OR
TO MAN BOSSICS
MOUNTED FREEDON
MY FOR SHOW BIS HARDSON
KEPEN BELLE PARTO AK
COURSE FORM
LUDGE FORM
LUDGE FORM

11 (1858 8 8 1 AMMING 18 00AU 8 W (2003) 004 (200 8 8 E)

November 20, 2007

Mr. Keith Rudolph 3358 Rudolph Road Tyler, Al. 36785

Re: Keith D. Rudolph v. MOBIS Alabama, LLC

U.S. District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Div.

Case No.: 2:06cv994-ID

Dear Mr. Rudolph:

On November 12, 2007, I wrote you inquiring about your intensions for going forward with your case against MOBIS. You have not responded to my letter.

Please be advised that if I do not hear from you within ten (10) days of the date of this letter, I will file a motion with the Court to have the case dismissed for lack of prosecution. Again, I emphasize that I am available to discuss the case with you prespective of whether you decide to proceed or drop the case. My direct dial telephone number in Montgomery is 334-241-8059.

Yours very truly,

Henry C. Barnett, Jr.

HCB as

